

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,647	12/01/2000	Shaikh Ghaleb Mohammad Yassin Alhamad	VI-CIP 5	5958
75	90 01/21/2003			
Charles E. Cates			EXAMINER	
Cates & Holloway P.O. BOX 1532			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
6340 E. Thomas Road Scottsdale, AZ 85252-1532			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	10
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS	
		Application No.	pplicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/728,647	ALHAMAD, SHAIKH GHALEB MOHAMMAD YASSIN	
,		Examiner	Art Unit	
		William P. Watkins III	1772	
Period fo	• •			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>19 December 2002</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice uon of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	cation.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
• —	The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	•		
= 1 -	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.	
40)اتا -	If approved, corrected drawings are required	· ·		
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	пе схапшнег.		
-	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2 440/=) (4) == (5)	
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			
а) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has be	een received.	
Attachmen			•	
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/728,647

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12 November 2002 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stock (U.S. 3,825,465) in view of Kinney (U.S. 312,864).

Art Unit: 1772

Stock teaches an expanded sheet material which may be made of plastics or paper or cardboard and which may be filled with tar products and an aggregate such as plaster (col. 2, lines 10-40). Kinney teaches the use of an expanded sheet material where. the slits are transverse to the longitudinal direction of the sheet and intersect the edge of the sheet in order to be expanded into a three dimensional structure which has great strength and stiffness (page 2, lines 25-35, Figure 1). A compact intermediate form of the product is taught (Figures 3 and 2). The instant invention claims an expanded sheet material with tar and aggregate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a combination of tar and plaster from the possible coating and filler materials taught by Stock as options. It further would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the transverse slits of Kinney for the longitudinal slits of Stock in order to produce a strong and still structure because of the teachings of Kinney. Variation of the taught sheet materials with these coating and fillers would also have been obvious. Transportation of construction materials to a job site in a compact form for field assembly in well known in the construction art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transport

Application/Control Number: 09/728,647

Art Unit: 1772

the unexpanded mesh of Stock in view of Kinney in a common intermediate compact sheet material storage form such as a roll for field assembly and joining with aggregate and tar in order to have ease of transportation to the construction job site, in view of the above noted well known practice.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 12 November 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the use of the words "net material" instead of sheet defines over the prior art because the CAFC in an appeal of a related parent case has determined that the material of Stock is a much thicker and less flexible material than the net material claimed in the application that was appealed. The U.S. 5,871,857 patent, which issued as a result of the appeal, has specific thickness limitations in the base claims, which the CAFC relied upon to make the finding of the claimed net material being different than the sheet material of Stock. The instant claims do have this thickness limitation. It is therefore not clear that the instant net material is the same as that found as being different than Stock by the CAFC.

Regarding the transportation of rolls, the examiner contends that is well known to transport construction materials to

Application/Control Number: 09/728,647 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

worksites in compact forms. The examiner will supply a reference to support that slitting and expansion of sheet materials can be done as separate steps, if applicant continues to traverse this finding.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

William M. Weethersh

WW/ww January 13, 2003 WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER